

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 9886		
10/625,465	0	7/22/2003	Mark A. Hunt	07319-008007/			
20985	7590	07/28/2004		EXAMINER			
FISH & RI	CHARDS	ON, PC	ALEMU, EPHREM				
12390 EL C. SAN DIEGO				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SANDIEGO), CR)2	130-2001		2821			
				DATE MAILED, 07/29/200	DATE MAIL ED. 07/20/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)				
			165	HUNT ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit				
		Ephrem		2821				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	ication appears on th	e cover sheet with the d	correspondence addr	ess			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comme period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e unication. D) days, a reply within the sta tutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the ap	vent, however, may a reply be tin stutory minimum of thirty (30) day will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comi D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.			
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on 22 July 2003.						
· <u> </u>	·	2b)⊠ This action is	non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>2-38</u> is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ar Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>2-38</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrice.	e withdrawn from co						
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)[The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any objected to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted or betion to the drawing(s) the correction is requi	be held in abeyance. See red if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR	• •			
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	,						
12) □ a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim f All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of 2. Certified copies of the priority of 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation of the attached detailed Office action	documents have bed documents have bed of the priority docum nal Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applicati ents have been receive le 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National St	age			
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P1	ГО-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F r No(s)/Mail Date <u>7-22-03</u> .	PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-1	52)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 12 is objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 12, the recitation of "said movement of an optical element is a lands movement command" is not clear. The examiner interpreted the limitation as "said movement of an optical element is a rotational movement command". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 2, 11, 12, 20 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hoyer et al. (US 4,837,665).

Re claims 2, 11, 20 and 31, Hoyer disclose an apparatus comprising:

a lighting unit (i.e., stage lights 111, 113, 115), which produces an output light beam, and is remotely controllable from a remote console (133) (Col. 4, lines 10-61);

a processor (i.e., 151, 153, 155 etc.), associated with the lighting unit (i.e., stage lights 111, 113, 115), and operating to receive a control for movement of a lighting control parameter, which is a parameter from the group of parameters consisting of a movement of an optical element, a movement of a color changer, a movement of a light shaping device, or a movement of a diaphragm, and to divide the control into a divided control unit representing an amount of

Application/Control Number: 10/625,465

Art Unit: 2821

movement for each of the parameters which will occur at each of a plurality of times (Col. 4, line 10- Col. 5, line 46).

Re claim 12, as best understood, Hoyer further discloses the movement of an optical element (i.e., optical filters) is a rotational movement command (Col. 4, lines 16-26; Col. 4, line 66- Col. 5, line 5).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hoyer et al. (US 4,837,665).

Re claim 13, eventhough, Hoyer does not recite "each of said divided control units represents a same amount of movement in each of a plurality of same intervals of time, Hoyer discloses that the host controller handle commands include function which may be executed directly such as rotations, beam focus and color and intensity changes, which represents a same amount of movement in each of a plurality of same intervals of time (Col. 4, line 62- Col. 5, line 24).

Re claims 14 and 15, wherein at least one of the divided control units represents a different amount of movement (i.e., elliptical) than for another of the divided control units and wherein the amounts of movement causes the movement to occur according to a sinusoidal

Application/Control Number: 10/625,465

Art Unit: 2821

profile (i.e., angles based upon geometric coordinate transmitted by the host) (Col. 4, line 62-Col. 5, line 24).

Double Patenting

6. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

7. Claims 2-38 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-3 & 8-9 of US patent no. 5,502,627; and claims 9-11 of U. S. Patent Nos. 5,921,659, since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: a lighting system and method for operating and controlling a plurality of lights. The only obvious difference with the instant application and the issued patents is that the instant application recites the same features with similar words that have the same or greater breath. Therefore, the scope of the claims in the instant application is similar to the scope of the claims in the issued patents US patent no. 5,921,659 and US 5,502,627.

Application/Control Number: 10/625,465 Page 5

Art Unit: 2821

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ephrem Alemu whose telephone number is (571) 272-1818. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F Flex hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Don K Wong can be reached on (571) 272-1834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EA 7-22-04

> **JAMES VANNUCCI PRIMARY EXAMINE**